To: McKean, Deborah[mckean.deborah@epa.gov]

From: Moon, Dave

Sent: Thur 9/24/2015 4:04:58 PM Subject: RE: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

Deb

Is 10:30 today ok?

I can come to your office.

Dave

From: McKean, Deborah

Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 9:26 AM

To: Moon, Dave

Subject: FW: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

Do you have time to sit down with and educate me on these numbers? I need to write up something for San Juan County regarding a risk analysis for exposures to both Cement creek and the Animas River in Silverton. I would like to understand these State values.

I am in the office today until about noon and Friday after noon. I am in all next week also.

From: Ostrander, David

Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 8:51 AM

To: McKean, Deborah

Subject: FW: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

From: Hestmark, Martin

Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 3:12 PM

To: Moon, Dave

Cc: Garcia, Bert; Stavnes, Sandra; Spence, Sandra; Ostrander, David; Way, Steven

Subject: RE: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

What is (ac), (ch) and (Trec)?

From: Moon, Dave

Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 2:01 PM

To: Hestmark, Martin

Cc: Garcia, Bert; Stavnes, Sandra; Spence, Sandra; Ostrander, David; Way, Steven

Subject: RE: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

L	0.						Çu
7.	Mainstern of Cement Creek, including all tributaries, and wetlands, from the source to the confluence with the Animas River.	UP	Recreation E Agriculture	D.O. = 3.0 mg/l pH = 3.7-9.0 E.Coli=126/100ml	CN(ac)=0.2 NO ₂ (ac)=10 NO ₂ (ac)=100	B(ch)=0.75	2 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
8.	Mainstem of Mineral Creek, including wetlands,		Recreation E	0.0. = 3.0 mg/l	CN(ac)=0.2	B(ch)=0.75	Asi

From: Hestmark, Martin

Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 1:57 PM

To: Moon, Dave

Cc: Garcia, Bert; Stavnes, Sandra; Spence, Sandra; Ostrander, David; Way, Steven

Subject: Re: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

Thanks Dave. Can you give us the numbers for cement creek too?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 23, 2015, at 1:50 PM, Moon, Dave < Moon. Dave@epa.gov > wrote:

Cement Creek has only agriculture and primary contact recreation use classifications. Also, numeric standards to protect those uses. It does not have any aquatic life protections. Of course, the numeric standards for agriculture are substantially higher concentrations compared to those for aquatic life, and there is no agricultural standard at all for total recoverable iron, mercury, or silver. The Animas between Cement and Mineral Creek has only a seasonal recreation use classification. No aquatic life use, and no

agriculture use. The Animas between Mineral and and Deer Park Creek has aquatic life cold 2, recreation, and agriculture uses, some table values, and some feasibility-based numeric standards (based on the 2001 UAA). The higher quality section of the Animas from Deer Park Creek down to Baker's Bridge has aquatic life cold 1 (diverse and sensitive), water supply, recreation and agriculture uses, a full set of table values, and is reviewable (tier 2 antidegradation requirements apply).

I spoke with Sarah Johnson yesterday, and WQCD plans to request that the ARSG submit more details to the WQCC for the Oct 13 hearing (what segments, what parameters, etc.). The feasibility-based standards now in place will be difficult to update because it is a complicated situation to tease apart, and projections about what is attainable are difficult (for the folks developing the proposal, and also for the reviewers, of which there may be several, including EPA). One of my goals is transparency, so everybody understands the assumptions and how the updated criteria were calculated. That was one of the problems with the UAA developed by ARSG in 2001, from my perspective.

You can feel free to forward this info if you like. I checked the info against Colorado's current WQS rule for the San Juan basin (Regulation 34).

From: Hestmark, Martin

Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 11:54 AM **To:** Moon, Dave; Garcia, Bert; Stavnes, Sandra

Cc: Spence, Sandra; Ostrander, David; Barry, Michael; Foster, Althea; Way, Steven

Subject: RE: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

Thanks Dave. PS – what are the existing WQS on Cement Creek?

From: Moon, Dave

Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 10:47 AM **To:** Hestmark, Martin; Garcia, Bert; Stavnes, Sandra

Cc: Spence, Sandra

Subject: RE: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

Martin

I haven't spoken with ARSG, or the WQCD, but from the ARSG letter it appears they are interested in reviewing the WQS for the upper portion of the basin (down to Baker's Bridge). Several segments in the upper basin have numeric standards that were calculated, starting with the conditions that existed at the time (about 2001), and subtracting out loads (multiple sources) thought to be reversible via remediation. This method of deriving numeric wq criteria is of course different than what is typically done, but there are several examples where this approach has been used at Colorado mine sites (Eagle River, Willow Creek). Keep in mind that the rulemaking hearing (the end zone) is scheduled for June of 2017, and the purpose of the Issues Scoping hearing next month is to kick off the triennial review process.

It sounds like ARSG is planning to update the numbers, but there might also be proposals to revise use classifications (e.g., decisions about where aquatic life use protection is required).

Dave

From: Hestmark, Martin

Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 10:36 AM

To: Garcia, Bert; Stavnes, Sandra **Cc:** Spence, Sandra; Moon, Dave

Subject: RE: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

Do we have a sense of how they are proposing to revise these standards?

From: Garcia, Bert

Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 9:46 AM

To: Hestmark, Martin; Stavnes, Sandra **Cc:** Spence, Sandra; Moon, Dave

Subject: FW: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

FYI – ARSG proposing revisiting standards in Upper Animas Basin, for the June 2017 WQCC hearing.

Bert Garcia

Director, Ecosystems Protection Program

EPA Region 8

From: Wharton, Steve

Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 9:21 AM

To: Spence, Sandra; Garcia, Bert

Cc: Moon, Dave

Subject: FW: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

Sandy & Bert – You may already know about this, but I wanted to make sure you saw the attached letter. It would be good to coordinate on the October WQCC hearing mentioned in the letter.

Thanks, Steve

From: Wall, Dan

Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 7:34 AM

To: Hestmark, Martin; Wharton, Steve **Cc:** Fagen, Elizabeth; Spence, Sandra **Subject:** FW: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

I wanted to make sure you all saw this.

From: Lewis, Brent [mailto:b1lewis@blm.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 7:24 AM

To: Schmittdiel, Paula; Wall, Dan; Fagen, Elizabeth; Way, Steven

Subject: Fwd: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC

Hey Everyone,	
This is interesting.	Why isn't EPA cc'd on this stuff?
Forwarded	1 message

From: Peter Butler { Personal Email/Ex. 6 Date: Mon, Sep 21, 2015 at 11:43 AM	
Subject: Draft ARSG Letter to WQCC	
Personal Email/Ex.	6

Personal Email/Ex. 6

Cc: Peter Butler < Personal Email/Ex. 6

Dear Stakeholders – Attached is a draft letter to the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) for its upcoming Issues Scoping Hearing in Oct. WQCC holds two hearings prior to its basin rulemaking hearing which is on a five year cycle. In other words, every five years WQCC holds a rulemaking on standards in the San Juan and Dolores River basins.

The Issues Scoping Hearing is designed to meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act to review water quality standards every three years. It is short, perfunctory meeting that allows people to know who might be proposing what at the rulemaking hearing in June 2017. The hearing may last less than an hour, and the commission generally takes no action.

The next WQCC meeting on our basin will be the Issues Formulation Hearing in Nov. 2016 at which time WQCC will decide what issues it is willing to address at the rulemaking the next June.

If you have any questions or comments about the letter or process, please let me know. We will discuss it briefly at tomorrow night's meeting.

Peter Butler

970-259-0986

Cell 970-317-0584

--

Brent Lewis

BLM's Colorado State Office

Abandoned Mine Land Program Lead

2850 Youngfield Street

Lakewood, CO 80215

ph: 303.239.3711

email: <u>b1lewis@blm.gov</u>